

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/689,218	10/11/2000	Thomas E. Giles	082225.P4249	7966		
. 7:	7590 10/07/2004			EXAMINER		
Michael A DeSanctis			LIN, KENNY S			
Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
7th Floor			2154			
Los Angeles, CA 90025			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. **Exhibition of time may be available used the sprovisce of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled **Life be period for onely is specified above in less than flatery (20) days, a reply within the shallady remindrate (50) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for onely is specified above in less than flatery (20) days, a reply within the shallady remindrate (60) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for onely is specified above in less than flatery (20) days, a reply within the shallady remindrate (60) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for onely is specified and the mailing date of this communication, onel of flatery field, may reduce a replication in the mailing date of this communication, onel of flatery field, may reduce a replication in the mailing date of this communication, onel of flatery field, may reduce under July 2004. **Status** **NET**		Application No.	Applicant(s)	N.
Period for Reply 2154		09/689,218	GILES ET AL	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensive of time may be available used the processor of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In recent, however, may a reply be timely filed the processor of the proce	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	17.
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be ovaliable lands the periodical of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rapply but timely tilled - Extensions of time may be ovaliable lands the periodical of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rapply but timely tilled - Extensions of time may be ovaliable lands the periodical of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rapply but timely tilled - EXP period for rapply a specified above, the machine and stability periodic vill apply and vill register SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Exp period for rapply a specified above, the machine and the mailing date of this communication. - Exp period for rapply a specified above, the machine and the mailing date of this communication. - Exp period for rapply a specified above, the machine and the mailing date of this communication. - Exp period for rapply a specified above, the machine and the machine and the specified and the machine a		Kenny Lin	2154	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eletraminor of time may be variable udde the provincion of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In ne event, however, may a raply be timoly filed with of SIX (8) MCNT+S from the mailing date of this communication. Failure of the provincion of the communication of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In ne event, however, may a raply be timoly filed with of SIX (8) MCNT+S from the mailing date of this communication. The provincion of the communication of the provincion of the	The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	SS
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 July 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The precification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB08) Mottee of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a least of the maximum statutory perions of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard properties of the maximum statutory perions of the period for reply will, by standard period for reply will period for	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi tod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Informal Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Status			
3	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	2 July 2004.		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.	·	
A) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the me	erits is
4)	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)	Disposition of Claims			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•	on.		•
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Online of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•			
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			(· · ·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colonic None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			by the Examiner.	•
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	l.121(d).
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-	152.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1. Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1. Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	·—	ents have been received.		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have beer	n received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies no	t received.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) 🔀 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	(08) 5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. The following terms lack proper antecedence basis:
 - i. A server node card Claim 2, line 1 (server node cards were introduced in claim 1, line 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002

Art Unit: 2154

do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hipp et al (hereinafter Hipp), US 6,411,506.
- 6. As per claim 1, Hipp taught the invention as claimed including an apparatus comprising:
 - a. A card rack (fig.13, col.7, lines 57-62);
 - b. two or more server node cards, each server node can perform server functions with integrated switch and router functions including load balancing and fail-over (col.2, lines 16-22, col.3, lines 63-67, col.4, lines 1-6, col.7, lines 10-22, 51-62, col.8, lines 26-29); and
 - c. A plurality of ports coupled with the two or more server node cards (col.8, lines 50-56, col.9, lines 62-67, col.10, lines 1-6, col.11, lines 1-12).
- 7. As per claim 5, Hipp taught the invention as claimed including a server block comprising:
 - a. A plurality of server nodes, each server node comprising a server with integrated switching, routing, load balancing and fail-over functions and a plurality of ports, at least one port of the plurality of ports configured for connection to an external

Art Unit: 2154

network (col.2, lines 16-22, col.3, lines 63-67, col.4, lines 1-6, col.7, lines 10-22, col.8, lines 26-29, 50-56, col.9, lines 62-67, col.10, lines 1-6, col.11, lines 1-12); and

- b. A plurality of signal paths connected with the plurality of ports of the server nodes of the plurality of the server nodes (col.3, lines 62-64, 66-67, col.4, line 1), at least two of the plurality of ports of each server node of the plurality of server nodes connected with another server node of the plurality of server nodes in the server block (col.2, lines 23-31, col.4, lines 34-58).
- 8. As per claim 2 and 6, Hipp taught the invention as claimed in claims 1 and 5. Hipp further taught wherein each server node of the plurality of server nodes comprises one single printed circuit board (col.8, lines 1-10, col.10, lines 54-59, col.11, lines 13-15).
- 9. As per claim 3, Hipp taught the invention as claimed in claim 1. Hipp further taught that where the plurality of ports comprises four ports (col.9, lines 27-34, col.11, lines 13-23).
- 10. As per claims 4 and 7, Hipp taught the invention as claimed in claims 2 and 6. Hipp further taught wherein the printed circuit board is rack mountable and the plurality of ports of each server node of the plurality of server nodes are accessible as connection points on the card rack and the server block is constructed in one card rack by interconnecting the connection points on the card rack (col.3, lines 56-61, col.7, lines 52-62, col.18, lines 16-21, fig.13).

Art Unit: 2154

11. As per claim 8, Hipp taught the invention substantially as claimed in claim 7. Hipp further taught wherein the external connections of the plurality of server block are provided through an interface card in the card rack, the interface card being connected to the plurality of server nodes through connection points on the card rack (col.2, lines 23-31, col.3, lines 62-67, col.4, line 1, col.11, lines 64-67, col.12, lines 1-5, col.18, lines 16-21).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hipp et al (hereinafter Hipp), US 6,411,506.
- 14. As per claim 9, Hipp taught the invention substantially as claimed including a computer network comprising:
 - a. A plurality of server blocks wherein each server block (fig.13) comprises:
 - i. A plurality of server nodes, each server node comprising a server with integrated switching, routing, load balancing and fail-over functions and a plurality of ports (col.2, lines 16-22, col.3, lines 63-67, col.4, lines 1-6,

Art Unit: 2154

col.7, lines 10-22, col.8, lines 50-56, col.9, lines 62-67, col.10, lines 1-6, col.11, lines 1-12), and

- ii. A plurality of signal paths connected with the plurality of ports of each server node of the plurality of server nodes (col.3, lines 62-64, 66-67, col.4, line 1), at least one signal path connected with each server node of the plurality of server nodes providing an external connection to a server block (col.14, 17-22, 25-29, 32-34), and at least two signal paths of the plurality of signal paths connected with each server node of the plurality of server nodes being connected with other server nodes of the plurality of server nodes in the block (col.2, lines 23-31, col.4, lines 34-58); and
- b. A plurality of signal paths connected with the server blocks (col. 18, lines 16-21).
- 15. Hipp further taught to provide connector paths in the backplate of the server rack intended to facilitate daisy chaining of server blocks within server rack (col.18, lines 16-21). Hipp did not specifically teach to include at least one signal paths connected with each server block of the plurality of server blocks providing an external connection to the network, and at least two signal paths of the plurality of signal paths connected with each server block of the plurality of server blocks being connected with other server blocks of the plurality of server blocks. However, since Hipp taught to include a plurality of signal paths connected with the server blocks (col.18, lines 16-21), and at least two signal paths of the plurality of signal paths connected with each server node of the plurality of server nodes being connected with other server nodes of the plurality of server nodes in the block (col.2, lines 23-31, col.4, lines 34-58), it

Art Unit: 2154

would have been obvious to dedicate signal paths that are connected to the server blocks as an external connection to the network or for chaining of server blocks. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to dedicate a certain signal paths in Hipp's system for external network connection and server blocks chaining facilitation purpose to provide communications in between the server blocks mounted on the same server rack (col.18, lines 16-21)

- 16. As per claim 10, Hipp taught the invention substantially as claimed in claim 9. Hipp further taught wherein each server node of the plurality of server nodes comprises one printed circuit board (col.8, lines 1-10, col.10, lines 54-59, col.11, lines 13-15).
- 17. As per claim 11, Hipp taught the invention substantially as claimed in claim 10. Hipp further taught wherein the printed circuit board is rack mountable and the plurality of ports of each server node of the plurality of server nodes are accessible as connection points on the card rack and a server block is constructed in one card rack by interconnecting the connection points on the card rack (col.3, lines 56-61, col.7, lines 52-62, col.18, lines 16-21, fig.13).
- 18. As per claim 12, Hipp taught the invention substantially as claimed in claim 11. Hipp further taught wherein the external connections of the plurality of server block are provided through an interface card in the card rack, the interface card being connected to the plurality of server nodes through connection points on the card rack (col.2, lines 23-31, col.3, lines 62-67, col.4, line 1, col.11, lines 64-67, col.12, lines 1-5, col.18, lines 16-21).

Art Unit: 2154

Response to Arguments

- 19. Applicant's arguments filed 7/12/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 20. In the remark, applicant argued that (1), Hipp does not disclose a server node card with integrated switch and router function.
- 21. Examiner traverse the argument that:

Examiner would first like to clearly identify that "a server card with integrated switching and routing function" is differing from "a server card with integrated switching and routing components" to prevent future disagreement. Nowhere in the specification discloses or suggests the server card to be integrated with switching and routing components.

As to point (1), switching and routing functions are inherent and essential functions in Hipp's system in order to carry out the invention. Hipp taught a server card (col.1, lines 25-28) to connect with two separate networks, a public network (col.3, lines 66-67, col.4, lines 1-6, 59-60) and a private network (col.4, lines 11-13, col.5, lines 22-31). In order for the server node to transmit requested data to the desiring network, the server node must switch between the public network and the private network and route the requested data to the correct destination network or at least route the requested data to the correct switch of the destination network (either switch 42 or 50). Hipp further suggested using switch chip in the interface card (col.12, lines 25-43, 50-

Art Unit: 2154

59). Hence, switching and routing functions are inherent features necessary and suggested in Hipp's teaching.

Conclusion

22. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Conway, US 6,665,822.

Dellacona, US 6,260,155.

Wachel, US 6,675,254.

23. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2154

24. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenny Lin whose telephone number is (703) 305-0438 and will be (571) 272-3968 after October 18, 2004. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM to 5 PM Tue.-Fri. and every other Monday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (703) 305-8498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ksl

October 4, 2004

Won: Ja-Ls 10/4/04